# **Relations Between Intracellular Ion Activities and Extracellular Osmolarity in** *Necturus* **Gallbladder Epithelium**

#### Thomas Zeuthen

Institute of Medical Physiology A, Panum Institute, University of Copenhagen, Blegdamsvej 3c, DK-2200 Copenhagen N, Denmark

**Summary.** The interactions between ion and water fluxes have an important bearing on osmoregulation and transepithelial water transport in epithelial cells. Some of these interactions were investigated using ion-selective microelectrodes in the *Necturus* gallbladder. The intracellular activities of  $K^+$  and Cl<sup>-</sup> in epithelial cells change when the epithelium is adapted to transport in solutions of a low osmolarity. In order to achieve new steady states at low osmolarities, cells lost  $K^+$ ,  $Cl^-$  and some unidentified anions. Surprisingly, the apparent  $K<sup>+</sup>$  concentration remained high: at an external osmolartity of  $64 \text{ mOsm}$  the intracellular K<sup>+</sup> concentration averaged 95 mm. This imbalance was sensitive to anoxia and ouabain. The effects of abrupt changes in the external osmolarities on the intracellular activities of  $Na^+$ ,  $K^+$  and  $Cl^$ were also investigated. The gradients were effectuated by mannitol. The initial relative rates of change of the intracellular activities of  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  and  $Cl<sup>-</sup>$  were equal. The data were consistent with  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  and  $Cl<sup>-</sup>$  ions initially remaining inside the cell and a cell membrane  $L_p$  of  $10^{-3}$  cm sec<sup>-1</sup> osm<sup>-1</sup>, which is close to the values determined by Spring and co-workers (K.R. Spring, A. Hope & B.-E. Persson, 1981. *In:* Water Transport Across Epithelia. Alfred Benzon Symposium 15. pp. 190-200. Munskgaard, Copenhagen). The initial rate of change of the intracellular activity of  $K^+$  was only 0.1-0.2 times the change observed in Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> activities, and suggests that  $K^+$  ions leave the cell during the osmotically induced  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  efflux and enter with an induced  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  influx. The coupling is between 98 and 102 mmoles liter<sup>-1</sup>. Various explanations for the anomalous behavior of intracellular  $K<sup>+</sup>$  ions are considered. A discussion of the apparent coupling between  $K^+$  and  $H_2O$ , observed in nonsteady states, and its effects on the distribution of  $K^+$  and  $H_2O$  across the cell membrane in the steady states, is presented.

**Key words intracellular ion** activities - intracellular osmolarity  $\cdot$  ion-selective microelectrodes  $\cdot$  water permeability of cell membrane

## **Introduction**

This paper deals with two related problems:

I) Leaky epithelia form a secretion which is isotonic to the bathing solutions. This applies not only to external solutions of normal physiological osmolarities but also to a range of hypo- and hyperosmolar solutions: rabbit gallbladder 60-550mOsm (Diamond, 1964); pancreas 110-600 mOsm (Case, Harper & Scratcherd, 1968); *Rhodnius* malpighian tubule, 60-380 mOsm (Maddrell, 1969); Necturus gallbladder 1-400mOsm (Hill & Hill, 1978) and 40- 225 mOsm (Zeuthen, 1981a).

The finding raises several problems: what are the intracellular osmolarity and ion activities when cells are transporting at low external osmolarities; and how do cells maintain intracellular salts to sustain organelle function?

2) High osmotic water permeabilities  $(L<sub>n</sub>)$  for mucosal and serosal cell membranes of *Necturus*  gallbladder have been recently reported  $(10^{-5} \text{ cm sec}^{-1} \text{ osm}^{-1})$ ; Spring et al., 1981). This was found by exposing cells to osmotic gradients, maintained by mannitol, while observing changes in their shape. This raises the question: Do the osmotically induced fluxes of water induce movements of ions across the cell membrane? Such effects might complicate the interpretation of the osmotic behavior of the cells.

These questions have been investigated by means of ion-selective microelectrodes. In one group of experiments, epithelia were adapted to transport at low external osmolarities and the rate of transport and intracellular ion activities were investigated. In a second group of experiments, the transiential changes **in intracellular ion activities in** response to abruptly imposed osmotic gradients, were examined.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### *Microelectrodes*

Microelectrodes were made as described elsewhere (Zeuthen, Hiam & Silver 1974; Zeuthen, 1980) and had a double-barrelled tip with a total diameter of less than  $0.3 \mu m$ . The reference barrel was pulled from a glass with an outer diameter of 1 mm, an inner diameter 0.5 mm and contained an internal fiber for easy filling. It had an impedance of  $40-200 \text{ M}\Omega$ , when filled with 2M KCl and measured in physiological saline. The other barrel, designed to contain the ion-selective membrane, was pulled from a glass with an outer diameter of between 2.0 and 1.5 mm and an inner diameter of 1.0 mm. When filled with  $2 \text{ M}$  KCl the impedance averaged  $20-40 \text{ M}\Omega$ . By choosing glass tubes of different diameters for the two barrels the outflow of reference solution into the cell was minimized; in fact no vacuoles were observed in the cytoplasm at the tip of the electrode. This effect may be observed with electrodes of a larger tip diameter (Nelson, Ehrenfeld & Lindemann, 1978). K<sup>+</sup>-sensitive electrodes were made from Corning<sup>®</sup> Code 477317 ion exchanger, Cl<sup>-</sup>sensitive electrodes from Corning Code 477315 and Na+-sensitive electrodes form an ion exchanger modified from one supplied by Professor Simon (Bindslev & Hansen, 1981; Garcia-Diaz & Armstrong, 1980). The reference barrel was filled with 2MKC1 and in some control experiments with saturated  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  citrate or  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ . This caused no difference in the recorded activities or electrical potentials.

Electrodes were used within 8 hr from the time of filling with aqueous solutions. The siliconized wall of the electrodes maintained a resistance of at least  $10^{12} \Omega$  (Engbaek & Guld, 1971). Thus, the shunt through the wall of an electrode with an impedance of  $10^{10} \Omega$  (which is equal to or larger than the resistance of the ion-selective electrode) was insignificant.

The results are presented as apparent concentrations, i.e. the recording from the electrode is directly compared with an external reference solution of a known concentration. This means that the apparent intracellular ion concentrations:  $Na<sub>e</sub><sup>+</sup>, K<sub>e</sub><sup>+</sup>, Cl<sub>e</sub><sup>-</sup>$ , are equal to the true concentrations if the intracellular activity coefficients are similar to those of the external solution.

Electrodes were calibrated before the experiment in a separate set-up. After cell impalement, in the experimental chamber,  $K^+$ and  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  electrodes were calibrated with solutions of equal ionic strength as that of the perfusion solution, but containing various proportions of Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup>. In order to minimize any systematic error from nonlinearity of electrodes, the concentration of the ion in the test solution was kept close to the value measured inside the cell. Due to the large sensitivity of  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  electrode to  $Ca<sup>+</sup>$ (Bindslev & Hansen, 1981) calibration of the electrode was performed in  $Ca^{++}$ -free solutions. As intracellular  $Ca^{++}$  is negligible,  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  can be assessed from such calibrations.  $Cl<sup>-</sup>$  electrodes were calibrated in solutions of different proportions of  $Cl^-$  and  $HCO<sub>3</sub>$ . The sensitivity of Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> electrodes was 50-55 mV per 10-fold change in activity, and selectivity to  $K^+$  and  $Na^+$  was  $0.05$  and  $0.01$ , respectively. The sensitivity of Cl<sup>-</sup> electrodes was 44-50 mV, and selectivity to  $HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  was about 0.1. The  $HCO<sub>3</sub>$ concentration inside the cells was assumed to be about 10 mm (Khuri, Bogharian & Agulian, 1974) and the measurements of  $Cl<sub>c</sub>$  were corrected accordingly. Ion-selective barrels had a response time of less than 1 sec.

The *relative* rate of change of ion concentration or activities  $da/a dt = dc/c dt$  was derived from equations of the type:

$$
E_{\text{Na}} = E_o + S \ln(a_{\text{Na}} + k a_{\text{K}}) \tag{1}
$$

where  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  is the ion measured, and  $K<sup>+</sup>$  the interfering ion, S the sensitivity and k the selectivity constant,  $E_{\text{Na}}$  the potential measured by the electrode,  $a$  the activity, and  $c$  the concentration. By differentiation:

$$
\frac{1}{c_{\text{Na}}} \cdot \frac{d c_{\text{Na}}}{dt} = \frac{1}{a_{\text{Na}}} \cdot \frac{d a_{\text{Na}}}{dt}
$$
\n
$$
= \left(\frac{1}{S} \frac{d E_{\text{Na}}}{dt} - \frac{k}{a_{\text{Na}} + k a_{\text{K}}} \frac{d a_{\text{K}}}{dt}\right) \frac{a_{\text{Na}} + k a_{\text{K}}}{a_{\text{Na}}}\right)
$$
\n(2)

$$
=\frac{1}{S} \cdot \frac{dE_{\text{Na}}}{dt} \quad \text{(for small } k\text{)}.
$$
 (3)



Fig. 1. Schematic drawing of the chamber in which the gallbladder epithelium (small semi-circles) could be probed with double barrelled microelectrodes under microscopic supervision. Current i could be passed across the epithelium via two silver wires shaped as rings. The electrical potential of the mucosal solution  $V_m$  and the potential of the connective tissue and the secreted solution (the serosal compartment)  $V_{\rm s}$  were recorded via agar bridges

When  $Cl<sub>c</sub>$  and  $K<sub>c</sub>$ <sup>+</sup> are recorded the influence from other ions is small and the initial relative rate of change is approximated by the rate of change of the potential, measured by the ion-selective barrel, divided by the sensitivity of the electrode.  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  recordings were corrected for the influence from K<sup>+</sup>.

## *Chamber for Microscopic Observation*

To study the electrophysiology of the unilateral preparation, the excised gallbladder was extended over a hole (OD 6 mm) in a plate of Plexiglass and placed between a water immersion lens with Normarski attachment (Zeiss 40x, *n.a.* 0.63) and a Normarski-condenser (Zeiss, Phako IV 21) with a long working distance about 7 mm. The plate was at an angle of about  $20^{\circ}$  with the horizontal plane (Fig. 1).

A drop of solution ( $\sim$ 15 µl) held under the objective served as a mucosal soIution and was renewed in less than a second by continuous flow from a peristaltic pump. Having superfused the tissue, the fluid flowed via a wick into secondary chamber from where it was aspirated.

The tip and shank of the microelectrode moved in the focal plane of the water immersion lens. The lens was moved relative to the microscope table. The microscope table onto which the tissue and chamber were fixed moved with the fine adjustment of the microscope. Cells in focus were penetrated by the electrode. Current pulses (up to  $10^{-5}$  A, 1 sec) were passed transepithelially via two ring-shaped silver wires; one attached to the water immersion lens, the other to the Plexiglass plate onto which the tissue was fixed. The wire contacted with the connective tissue. The electrical potentials of mucosal and serosal solutions were monitored by agar bridges connected to Ag/AgC1 electrodes; the serosal electrode contacted the connective tissue. The microelectrode was positioned by means of a Huxley-micromanipulator (Huxley, 1961), which was equipped with stepping motors. The electrode could be moved in steps of  $0.2 \mu m$  in three perpendicular directions by remote control. The position of the tip of the electrode was recorded digitally.

#### T. Zeuthen: Ion Activities and Osmolarity in Epithelia 111

The microscope was equipped with a Philips TV camera (type LDH 26, vidicon tube), the picture monitored on a 12-inch screen (Philips) and recorded on a Sony video recorder (U-matic type VO 2630).

#### *Chamber for Transport Studies*

The rate of transport of unilateral (sweating) preparation was studied in bladders which were tied, connective tissue downwards, over the end of a (1 cm OD) plastic tube which was cut at an angle of 45°. The other end of the tube was closed by a stopper. The secretion was sampled from inside the tube. The osmolality and weight of the secretion was determined, to assess transport rates. The tube was placed vertically in a petri dish and the mucosal solution was applied from a 1-mm tube at the apex of the plastic tube and allowed to flow rapidly  $(> 1 \text{ cm/sec})$  in a thin  $(\sim 100 \,\mathrm{\upmu m})$  layer over the mucosal surface, before it was sampled from the petri dish.

A small  $(0.2 \text{ mm})$  hole in the side of the plastic tube prevented hydrostatic pressure gradients to develop across the epithelial wall.

When the tissue was to be tested in a new solution, it was initially bathed on both sides for 45 min in the new solution. In order to expose the tissue to ouabain, the serosal side was washed in a saline containing  $10^{-3}$  M ouabain for 30 min.

#### *PerJusion*

The perfusing solution could be changed within 1-3 sec by means of a valve. This valve was placed 2cm from the chamber and connected to it via a narrow (0.5 mm ID) tube in order to minimize delay. Both the perfusing solution and the perfusion solution in waiting were pumped continuously by a peristaltic pump (AEG), but whereas the perfusing solution reached the tissue, the solution in waiting was spilled through a side branch consisting of a narrow glass tube, 1.0 mm ID, length 20 cm. The mouth of this tube was placed  $2-3$  cm above the level of the tissue. The rest of the system consisted of polystan tubing (i.e. 2 mm). Once the valve was switched between the two solutions, the previous perfusion solution was spilled through its side branch, while the new solution was perfused. By adjusting the outlet height of the side branches, the flow rates of solutions over the tissue could be matched exactly and peristaltic movements could be reduced. At an appropriate height the side branch of the solution being perfused remained filled with solution. The valve was a conventional syringe valve made from metal and was operated manually by two pieces of string.

#### *Tissues*

Gallbladders were removed from *Necturus maculosus* (Nasco<sup>®</sup>), which were maintained in running tap water at 10 to  $15^{\circ}$ C for more than a month. Connective tissue and mesothelial membranes were removed by dissection. By applying a pressure of 8-  $10 \text{ cm}^2$  of H<sub>2</sub>O to the serosal compartment of a tissue in which the mesothelium had been removed, blisters or domes of epithelium were formed (Bundgaard & Zeuthen, 1981). These domes were about 100 cell diameters in diameter. The underlying connective tissue could be removed by dissection. Usually 5 to 30 domes were formed. Sometimes, the dissection was unsuccessful and small holes of 3-10 cell diameters were formed in some of the blister. These holes were too small to form any shunt for fluid, but shunted electrically. For this reason, all tissues from which the connective tissues were removed, were short-circuited externally.

Osmolarities were measured in an Advance® osmometer or a Ramsay-Osmometer (Ramsay & Brown, 1955).

All numbers are  $\pm$  SEM unless otherwise stated.



Fig. 2. The rate of transepithelial transport  $J_n$  as a function of the osmolarity of the mucosal solution. The bladder was mounted unilaterally (sweating) (see Materials and Methods). J<sub>n</sub> increased with decreasing mucosal osmolarity following an almost inverse proportionality (broken line)





<sup>a</sup> In addition the solutions contained (in mm):  $3 KCl$ ,  $2.7 CaCl<sub>2</sub>$ , 2.4 NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. Solutions were bubbled with  $O_2$  and had a pH of about 7.6. Osmolarities were measured in an Advance  $\mathcal{O}$  osmometer or a Ramsay-Osmometer (Ramsay & Brown, 1955).

#### **Results**

## *Part One: Steady States*

*Rate of Isoosmotic Transport.* The rate of volume transport  $J<sub>v</sub>$  (Fig. 2) and osmolarity of the transport-



Fig. 3. Intracellular electrical potential  $(E<sub>c</sub>)$  and apparent intracellular K<sup>+</sup> concentration  $(K_c^+)$  as a function of the osmolarity of the mucosal solution. Each point is the average from 3 to 12 animals, each based on 3 to 20 cells. The points obtained at 117 mOsm are from one animal each

ed solution were measured in unilateral preparation as described on p. 110. Measurements were performed in normal saline (solution 14, Table 1) and in three solutions where osmolarity had been reduced by removing NaC1 (solutions 13, 12 and 11, Table 1). When the epithelium was bathed in saline with an osmolarity of 204 mOsm the secretion had an osmolarity of  $203 \pm 5$  mOsm (n=19); in solution no. 13 (117 mOsm) an osmolarity of  $108 \pm 3$  mOsm (n=12); in solution no. 12 (64mOsm) an osmolarity of 64  $+4 \text{ mOsm}$  ( $n=15$ ). If the mucosal side was bathed in solution no. 11 (39 mOsm) the epithelial cells were damaged: the rate of volume transport became insignificant from zero; the intracellular electrical potential decreased below  $-10$  mV; and the intracellular  $K^+$  concentration  $K_c^+$  decreased below 20 mm. These tissues did not recover when returned to normal osmolarities. If, however, the  $K<sup>+</sup>$  concentration of solution 11 was reduced from 3 to 1.5 or 0.38 mM cells remained alive and produced a secretion of an osmolarity of  $36 \pm 4 \text{ mOsm}$  ( $n=3$ ).

The rate of volume-transport  $(J_n, Fig. 2)$  was, at normal osmolarities (204mOsm, solution 14) 11.7



Fig. 4. The effects of ouabain  $(10^{-3} \text{ M})$  in the serosal solution were tested at an external osmolarity of 64mOsm. The intracellular  $K^+$  concentration  $(K_c^+)$  fell progressively with time as indicated by the bars marked 30, 60, and 100 min. Good oxygenation was essential for the maintenance of the high  $K_c^+$ , when the mucosal solution was bubbled with N<sub>2</sub> instead of  $O_2$ , K<sub>c</sub> was reduced (bar marked  $N_2$ )

 $\pm$ 1.8  $\mu$ l hr<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> (n=11). If the osmolarity of the mucosal solution was reduced to 117 mOsm, by removing NaCl (solution 13),  $J<sub>p</sub>$  increased to 19.7  $\pm$ 3.0µl hr<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>. In solution 12 (64 mOsm) J<sub>r</sub> was  $26.3 \pm 3 \mu l$  hr<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> and in solution 11 (modified by reducing the  $K^+$  concentration below 1.5 mm  $(36 \text{ mOsm})$  J<sub>p</sub> was  $52.5 \pm 8.6 \text{ }\mu\text{l} \text{ hr}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ . Thus, J<sub>p</sub> is almost exactly inversely proportional to the osmolarity of the mucosal solution.

Intracellular Electrical Potential E<sub>c</sub>, Apparent Con*centrations*  $K_c^+$  and  $Cl_c^-$  as a Function of External *Osmolarity in Steady States.* Gallbladders were mounted unilaterally and bathed on the mucosal side (p. 111) in saline with an osmolarity of 204 mOsm (solution 14, Table 1), or in salines where the osmolarity was reduced by removing NaCI: 117 mOsm (solution 13, Table 1); and 64mOsm (solution 12, Table 1). Cells were probed by doublebarrelled microelectrodes, and exhibited stable and uniform electrical and chemical potentials for periods up to 30 min. The recordings fulfilled the usual criteria of abrupt change at penetration *(see* Zeuthen, 1981b, for an example). The potentials were related to the mucosal solution. Electrical potential and apparent concentration were determined in 3 to 20 cells in 3 to 12 tissues. The average value of the averages from each tissue is summarized in Fig. 3.

At the lowest osmolarity tested, 64 mOsm,  $K_c^+$ averaged 95 mm. In solution 13 (117 mOsm)  $K_c^+$  was



Fig. 5. The change in the apparent intracellular K<sup>+</sup> concentration K<sub>c</sub><sup>+</sup>, given by the potential of the ion-selective barrel  $\Delta E_k^*$ , and the electrical potential  $\Delta E_c$  in response to abrupt changes in the osmolarity of the mucosal solution. The tissue was initially bathed in a solution of osmolarity 225 mOsm (solution 15, Table 1), then the osmolarity was increased +20 mOsm by adding mannitol (solution 16). This was done twice in this Figure. Finally, mannitol was completely removed as (solution 14) indicated by  $-20$  mOsm. This situation represents the *smallest* changes that were attempted in this study. The changes in  $\Delta E^*$  which were well defined (black stars) were used for evaluation of the initial relative rate of change in K<sup>+</sup>. This was obtained simply as  $AE^*_{\epsilon}/S\Delta t$  [Eqs. (2) and (3)] where S is the sensitivity of the electrode. The intracellular electrical potential  $\Delta E$ , was measured relative to the serosal solution in this record; the transepithelial potential *(not shown)* changed less than 2mV in this recording. The impedance of the reference barrel of the microelectrode was monitored every 25th sec; in this case it was 80 MQ. The noise originates mainly from frictional electrostatics in the fluid pump

on average 108 mm. At normal external osmolarities, 204 mOsm,  $K_c^+$  was 109 mm.<sup>1</sup>

 $K_c^+$  was sensitive to anoxia and ouabain. If the mucosal solution was equilibrated with  $N_2$  instead of  $O_2$ ,  $K_c^+$  decreased by about 40%. If ouabain was present on the serosal side,  $K_c^+$  decreased gradually to about  $10 \text{ mm}$  in  $60 \text{ min}$ . Figure 4 shows these effects at an external osmolarity of 64 mOsm.

The apparent intracellular concentration of chloride  $(Cl<sub>n</sub><sup>-</sup>)$  was 39 mm at normal external osmolarities (204 mOsm) and the chemical potential was  $-27.1 \pm 3.1$  mV relative to solution 14. At external osmolarities of 117 mOsm,  $Cl<sub>r</sub><sup>-</sup>$  decreased to 11 mm  $(17.2 \pm 1.9 \text{ mV}$  relative to solution 11) and at 64 mOsm to 9 mm  $(-21.9 \pm 4.1 \text{ mV}$  relative to solution 11). These values are not corrected for artificial background recording (the amount of  $Cl^-$  apparently recorded by the electrode in a tissue bathed in a chloride-free solution). This can be as high as 6 mM (Machen & Zeuthen, 1980), which means that  $Cl<sub>c</sub>$  may be as low as 2 mm at the lowest osmolarities tested.

Intracellular electrical potential  $(E<sub>c</sub>)$  was  $-65$  mV (Fig. 3) when the mucosal side of the tissue was superfused by normal saline (204mOsm; solution 14). Cells hyperpolarized to as much as  $-80 \text{ mV}$ when bathed in osmolarities of 117 mOsm (solution 13, Zeuthen, 1981a), but depolarized to  $-62 \text{ mV}$ when bathed in low osmolarities of 64mOsm (solution 12) (Fig. 3).

#### *Part Two: Nonsteady States*

*The Initial Changes in Intracellular Electrical Poten*tial  $E_c$  and Ion Activities Na<sup>+</sup>,  $K_c^+$  and  $Cl_c^-$  in Re*sponse to Step Changes in the External Osmolarity.*  Gallbladders were mounted unilaterally, as described in Materials and Methods, and the initial relative rate of change in concentrations, *dc/cdt,*  Eqs. (2) and (3), and in intracellular potential were measured when the mucosal or serosal solution was abruptly changed. Bladders were initially bathed in normal saline (solution 7, Table 1), or in a saline that only contained  $90\%$  of the NaCl of normal saline, but to which 20 mOsm of mannitol was added (solution 15, Table 1). Both solutions had an osmolarity of 225 mOsm. A stepwise increase in the superfusion solution was performed by changing to a solution to which larger amounts of mannitol had been added (changing from solution no. 7 to 8, 9, 10 or changing from 15 to 16, 17, 18, 19 or 20). Stepwise decreases of  $-20$  mOsm were made by removing mannitot from the perfusion solution (changing from solution 15 to 14). The rate of change of  $K<sub>c</sub><sup>+</sup>$  in response to gradients of  $+20 \text{ mOsm}$  (Fig. 5) was the smallest that could be detected with certainty (by eye), with the given signal-to-noise ratio. Figure 6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If newly purchased animals were used the author obtained results which were more pronounced than those obtained with tap water-adapted animals (Zeuthen, 1981a).  $K_c^+$  was 172 mm at normal external osmolarities and 144 mm at an external osmolarity of 112 mOsm and about 100 mM when the external osmolarity was 64 mOsm. However, the rate of volume transport was only  $12 \mu l$  cm<sup>-2</sup> hr<sup>-1</sup> at an external osmolarity of 64 mOsm. The cells from these animals lost intracellular  $K<sup>+</sup>$  during adaptation to the low osmolarities, but  $K_c^+$  remained higher than could be expected from osmotic equilibrium.



Fig. 6. The change in the apparent intracellular Cl<sup>-</sup> concentration measured as the change in the electrical potential recorded by the Cl<sup>-</sup>-sensitive electrode  $AE_{Cl}^*$  [mV] in response to an increase of the osmolarity of the mucosal solution of 137 mOsm. The intracellular potential  $E<sub>c</sub>$  and the mucosal potential  $\Delta E_M$  were measured relative to the serosal solution. Every 20 sec a current pulse was passed across the epithelium in order to record the impedance of the tissue and the voltage divider ratio  $\alpha$  *(see p. 116).* This situation represents the *largest*  changes that were attempted in this study *(compare* Fig. 5)

Fig. 7. The initial rate of change (in units of  $10^{-3}$  sec<sup>-1</sup>) of Cl<sub>c</sub> (circles), Na<sub>c</sub><sup>+</sup> (triangles) and K<sub>c</sub><sup>+</sup> (squares) as a function of the osmotic gradient imposed abruptly by changing the serosal solution  $\Delta \pi_{\rm g}$  or the mucosal solution  $\Delta \pi_{\rm m}$ . The tissue was initially bathed in solution 7 or 15, 225 mOsm (Table l). The increased osmolarities were achieved by perfusing salines to which mannitol was added; the decrease was achieved by removing mannitol. (For details of solutions, *see text* and Table 1.) For K<sup>+</sup> SEM is not shown if smaller than symbols; each point represents the average of 3 to 12 measurements from at least 2 animals. For Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> single points represent single measurements. The stipled lines are the lines of regression for measurements in the range  $-20$  to 80 mOsm except for Na<sup>+</sup> in the serosal experiment where the range  $-20$  to  $40 \text{ mOsm}$  was used. The statistics of the regression lines are given in Table 2. The intercepts with the ordinate were never significantly different from zero

shows a recording of  $Cl<sub>c</sub>$  during a change in mucosal solution of  $\pm$ 137 mOsm. The effects of stepwise increases and decreases in osmolarity on the initial changes in ion concentrations are compiled in Fig. 7. The larger the change in osmolarity  $\Delta \pi$ , the larger the initial relative rate of change in the concentration although large gradients seemed to be less efficient at effecting the concentrations. In order to compare the effects of the external osmolarities on the intracellular concentrations the author used the values obtained with smaller gradients, i.e. where the responses were linearly related to the change in osmolarity. The following analysis is therefore based on gradients of  $-20$  to 80 mOsm. An exception is



Table 2.

The chemical potential in mV is:

relative to 140 mM KCI+10 mM NaCl b relative to 150 mM KCI<br>relative to colution 6.110 mM CI<sup>-d</sup> coloulated from electron auto-

relative to solution 6, 110 mm Cl<sup>-</sup>  $d$  calculated from electroneutrality

calculated from the steady-state values and the transients listed above.

Table 3. Statistics for Fig. 7



the changes in  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  induced from the serosal side which are analyzed in the range  $-20$  to 40 mOsm.

The initial relative change in  $K_c^+$  was  $0.039 \times 10^{-3}$  sec<sup>-1</sup> mOsm<sup>-1</sup> when gradients were imposed from the mucosal side and  $0.042 \times 10^{-3}$  sec<sup>-1</sup> mOsm<sup>-1</sup> when they were imposed from the serosal side. There was no significant difference between the initial relative rate of change induced in  $Na<sub>c</sub><sup>+</sup>$  and Cl<sup>-</sup>. When the gradients were imposed from the mucosal side,  $Na<sub>c</sub><sup>+</sup>$  and  $Cl<sub>c</sub>$ changed on average  $0.12 \times 10^{-3}$  sec<sup>-1</sup> mOsm<sup>-1</sup> and when gradients were imposed from the serosal side,  $Na<sub>c</sub><sup>+</sup>$  and  $Cl<sub>c</sub><sup>-</sup>$  changed on average  $0.36 \times 10^{-3}$  sec<sup>-1</sup> mOsm<sup>-1</sup>. *(See* Tables 2 and 3.) In each experiment the initial rate of change was the same whether the external osmolarity was changed to larger or smaller osmolarities.

The induced changes in intracellular electrical potential were small in all these experiments. Changes were less than 2 mV across either cell membrane in experiments where the mucosal solution was changed (Fig. 8). Up to one mV could be induced, transepithelially (mucosa positive) when the mucosal

solution was hyperosmolar. In the experiments where the serosal solution was changed, the tissue was short-circuited; in this case the induced change in  $E_c$ , similar to that observed at mucosal substitutions (Fig. 8), was typically less than  $2 \text{ mV}$ .

The effects on  $K_c^+$  of large negative and zero gradients of osmolarity were also studied (Fig. 9). The gradients were imposed from the mucosal side only. Gradients were produced either by removing NaCl (solutions 1 and 2, Table 1) or by removing NaCl and adding mannitol (solutions  $3$ , 4 and  $5a$ ). In some cases 50 or 75 $\frac{9}{6}$  of the NaCl was removed, but isoosmotic amounts of mannitol were added (solutions 5 and 6). As can be seen from Fig. 9, the initial relative rate of change is a function of the osmolarity of the solution only and is independent of its composition. Furthermore, the rate of change is numerically equal to the change obtained by positive osmotic gradients.

The removal of 50 to 75 $\frac{9}{2}$  of NaCl from the mucosal perfusion solution (with or without mannitol added) induced 10 to 15 mV hyperpolarization of the cells and negative potentials of 10-15 mV of



Fig. 8. The rate of change in the apparent intracellular concentration of potassium,  $K_r^+$   $[10^{-3}$  sec<sup>-1</sup>] as a function of the osmotic gradient across the mucosal membrane caused by an abrupt change in the osmolarity of the mucosal solution. The tissue was initially bathed in solution 7 or 15, 225 mOsm (Table 1). The increased osmolarities were achieved by perfusing salines to which mannitol was added (solutions 16, 8, 17, 18 and 10). The decreases in osmolarities were achieved by perfusion with solutions from which NaCI had been removed (solutions l, 2 and 14) or NaC1 removed and mannitol added, (solutions 3, 4, 5a). Isoosmotic solutions (solution 5) were produced by removing 75% of the NaCl from solution 15 and by adding mannitol. The number at each point indicates the solution used (Table 1). The bars are SEM which is not shown if smaller than the symbols. The points are the average from 3 to 12 measurements



Fig. 9. The change in the intracellular electrical potential,  $(AE_c)$ , open triangles) relative to the mucosal solution and the change in the electrical potential of the serosal solution  $\langle AE_c$ , closed triangles) relative to the mucosal solution both as a function of the change in the osmolarity of the mucosal solution. The osmotic gradients were produced by the solutions indicated by the numbers at each point (Table 1). SEM not shown if smaller than symbol; the average value was obtained from 3 to 12 measurements

the serosal compartment, both relative to the mucosal compartment (Fig. 8).

Resting values of the concentrations and potentials were the same whether cells were penetrated from the mucosal or the serosal side *(see* Table 3).

*Epithelial Resistance*  $R<sub>T</sub>$  and Voltage Divider Ratio  $\alpha$ . Under control conditions the resistance of the epithelium  $(R_T)$  was  $337 \pm 4.8$  (13 determinations in 4) tissues), and the voltage divider ratio  $\alpha$  (the voltage induced across the mucosal membrane divided by

the voltage induced across the serosal membrane) was  $2.58+0.24$ . In experiments where the osmolarity of the mucosal solution was increased abruptly both  $R<sub>T</sub>$  and  $\alpha$  increased. If the osmolarity was increased by 160 mOsm,  $R_T$  increased to 842  $\pm$  80 (n=7) and  $\alpha$ to  $2.80 \pm 0.21$  (n=4); this increase was not significant.

*Electroneutrality and Ion Fluxes.* In order to preserve electroneutrality a negative charge-density  $X^$ must exist in the cell.  $X^-$  [mEq liter<sup>-1</sup>] can be calculated on the basis of measurements of  $Na<sup>+</sup>$ ,  $K^+$  and Cl<sup>-</sup> in the steady state.  $X^-$  was between 95 and 100 mEq liter<sup>-1</sup> (Table 3) when based on data obtained with electrode penetrations from the serosal or the mucosal side. For simplicity the valency of  $X^-$  is assumed to be one.

Electroneutrality must also be fulfilled during the shrinkage or swelling of the cell:

$$
\frac{d\text{Na}_c^+}{dt} + \frac{d\text{K}_c^+}{dt} = \frac{d\text{Cl}_c^-}{dt} + \frac{dX^-}{dt}.
$$
 (3)

The initial relative rate of change of  $X^-$  can therefore be calculated as  $0.0027 \times 10^{-3}$  sec<sup>-1</sup> mOsm<sup>-1</sup> when gradients are applied from the mucosal side. and  $-0.039 \times 10^{-3}$  sec<sup>-1</sup> mOsm<sup>-1</sup> when applied from the serosal side. The negative sign indicates that the concentration of  $X^-$  decreases when the cell shrinks (Table 2).

Let us assume that  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  and  $Cl<sup>-</sup>$  ions remain to a first approximation inside the cell when the cell shrinks or swells in response to the imposed osmotic gradients *(see also Discussion)*. The fact that  $K_{\alpha}^{+}$  and  $X^-$  do not concentrate at the same rate as that observed for Na<sub>c</sub><sup>+</sup> and Cl<sub>c</sub><sup>-</sup> indicates that K<sub>c</sub><sup>-</sup> and  $X^-$  ions disappear from the compartment from which the electrodes measure. From the figures in Table 2 it can be calculated that 98 mmol [calculated as  $(0.12-0.034) \times 123/0.17$  of  $K_c^+$  and  $X^$ leaves the cellular compartment for each liter of  $H<sub>2</sub>O$ when the osmotic gradient is applied from the mucosal side, and 102 mmol of  $K_c^+$  and  $X^-$  leaves the compartment for each liter of  $H_2O$  when the gradient is applied from the serosal side.

## **Discussion**

The *apparent* intracellular concentrations and electrical potentials were similar to those obtained in some recent studies (Reuss & Weinman, 1979; Garcia-Diaz & Armstrong, 1980) using liquid ion-exchanger electrodes. Na<sup>+</sup>: 8-12 mm; Cl<sub>c</sub>: 31-32 mm;  $K_c^+$ : 123-113 mm;  $E_c$ : -50 to -80 mV. The Na<sup>+</sup> was lower than that obtained by glass microelectrodes (Zeuthen, 1978; Graf & Giebisch, 1979) which recorded 20-40 mM. One explanation is that liquid ion exchanger electrodes cause less damage to the cell wall and consequently less  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  influx. Another explanation is that glass electrodes, via their dead space, introduce  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  into the cell.

## *Effects of Low External Osmolarities in Steady State*

When cells were adapted to transport in dilute media,  $Cl<sub>c</sub>$ <sup>-</sup> decreased but K<sup>+</sup> remained fairly constant (Fig, 3; *see also* footnote 1). Cell volume, measured stereologically, (Bundgaard & Zeuthen, 1981) appeared to be unaffected by osmolality. This is indicative of volume-regulation and agrees with the findings of Spring et al. (1981) who found that this regulation occurs within 15 min.

The apparent  $K<sup>+</sup>$  concentration measured at an external osmolarity of 64 mOsm was higher than the value expected from osmotic equilibrium across the plasma membrane (Fig. 3). This leads to several unpleasant alternatives. (i) The electrode is sensitive to some unknown substance released inside the cell at low osmolarities. *(ii)* The cell wall is impermeable to  $H_2O$ . *(iii)* The activity coefficient for  $K_c^+$  is larger than one. *(iv)* The reflection coefficient of the intracellular  $K^+$  salt is less than one. The two last points will be discussed in detail:

The apparent intracellular  $K<sup>+</sup>$  concentration determined by microelectrodes can be taken as the lower limit of the number of osmotic active ions, if it is assumed that the activity coefficient in the cell is equal to that in the external solutions, 0.76 to 0.83 (Robinson & Stokes, 1959). This seems justified, as the electrode only measured electrochemically free ions, and as the activity coefficient for  $K^+$ , in epithelial cells, has been found to be equal to, or smaller than 0.76 (White, 1976; Armstrong, Bixenman, Frey, Garcia-Diaz. O'regan & Owens, 1979; Zeuthen  $\&$  Wright, 1981). This point can be elaborated further. In order to assess the osmotic effects of electrochemically free  $K<sup>+</sup>$  ions, the measured activity should be divided by the activity coefficient f and multiplied by the osmotic coefficient  $\phi$ . The ratio  $\phi$ /f is larger than one and is an increasing function of the valency of the co-ion (Robinson & Stokes, 1959). There are now two extreme cases: if an activity coefficient of 0.76 is assumed, the osmotic effect of  $K^+$  ions would (in the case of  $Cl^-$  being the co-ion) be obtained by multiplying the apparent concentrations by an osmotic coefficient of about 0.93 (Robinson & Stokes, 1959). In that case, however, C1- ions would exert an equally large osmotic effect as  $K^+$  ions and the osmolarity of  $K^+$  ions alone would indeed *be* a lower estimate of the total intracellular osmolarity. At the other extreme, where the co-ion is multivalent and exerts no osmotic effect, the ratio  $\phi/f$  would be much larger than one, because f decreases faster with increasing valency than does  $\phi$ . In this case the concentration would again be a lower estimate of the osmolarity.

The imbalance in  $K_c^+$  across the cell membrane was dependent on the supply of  $O_2$ . If the mucosal solution was bubbled with  $N_2$  instead of  $O_2$ , the K<sup>+</sup> concentration fell to what could be expected from osmotic equilibrium (Fig. 4). Ouabain applied to the serosal side also changed  $K_{r}^{+}$  towards equilibrium values. The existence of an intracellular hyperosmolarity was corroborated by some preliminary measurement of the osmolarity of the tissue in a Ramsay-Osmometer (Zeuthen, 1981a).

If an osmotic imbalance exists across the cell membrane, the question is whether it will affect the fluxes of  $H<sub>2</sub>O$ . This will depend on the properties of the membrane, especially the reflection coefficient of the intracellular  $K^+$  salt. The apparent intracellular concentration of CI- was about 35 mM at normal osmolarities, but decreased well below 10 mM when cells were adapted to dilute solutions. Thus, the low intracellular concentration of Cl<sup>-</sup> indicates that the majority of intracellular anions are large and organic. It is possible that these anions are impermeable to the cell membrane. Consequently the intracellular  $K<sup>+</sup>$  salt would be impermeant and have a reflection coefficient of one and an effective osmotic gradient would exist across the cell membrane and have the direction for transport of  $H<sub>2</sub>O$ into the cell. It is known from a number of studies *[see reviews* by MacKnight & Leaf (1977) and Hoffmann  $(1977)$ ] that osmotic equilibrium exists across cell membranes at plasma osmolarities. No studies. however, deal with low external osmolarities, nor do they use epithelial cells exclusively.

# *Lp Determined from the Rate of Change*   $in^r Na^+_c$  and  $Cl^+_c$

When the osmolarity of the mucosal or serosal solution was changed abruptly, the initial relative rates of change in  $Na<sub>c</sub><sup>+</sup>$  and  $Cl<sub>c</sub><sup>-</sup>$  were equal and linearly related to the magnitude of the osmotic gradient in the range  $-20 \text{ mOsm}$  to  $+80 \text{ mOsm}$ (Fig. 7). If it is assumed that  $Na^+$  and  $Cl^-$  ions remain inside the cell during the first phases of swelling or shrinkage, then their rates of change will reflect the rate of  $H_2O$  efflux or influx. The  $L_p s$  which were obtained were 1.6  $L_p$ s which were obtained were 1.6  $x^{r}$ 10<sup>-3</sup> cm sec<sup>-1</sup> osm<sup>-1</sup> for the serosal membrane and  $0.68 \times 10^{-3}$  cm sec<sup>-1</sup> osm<sup>-1</sup> for the mucosal membrane, when a cell weight of  $40~\mu$ m was assumed. These values are close to those obtained by Spring et al. (1981):  $2.4 \times 10^{-3}$  sec<sup>-1</sup> osm<sup>-1</sup> and 1.1  $\times 10^{-3}$  cm sec<sup>-1</sup> osm<sup>-1</sup>, which were derived directly by optically observing the rate of shrinkage or swelling of the cell. Thus the relative rates of change of  $Na<sub>r</sub><sup>+</sup>$ and  $Cl<sub>r</sub>$  were equal. This supports the notion that Na<sup>+</sup> and CI- remain inside the cells during the initial phases of the cell shrinkage or cell swelling. The apparent intracellular concentration of the two ions are different (Table 2). Only if they remain intracellularly could their relative rates of change be expected to be equal.<sup>2</sup> If the small difference between the optical and the electrochemical estimates of the  $L<sub>n</sub>$  is real, it means that  $Cl^-$  and  $Na^+$  fluxes are induced across the cell membranes.

# *Rate of Change of K~-*

The initial rate of change of intracellular concentration of  $K<sup>+</sup>$  was only one-tenth of the rate of change observed for Na<sub> $c$ </sub>+ and Cl<sub>c</sub><sup>-</sup> when the osmotic gradient was imposed from the serosal side, and one-fifth when the osmotic gradient was imposed from the mucosal side *(see* Fig. 7). This means that  $K<sup>+</sup>$  ions disappear from the compartment in which the electrode measures. Consequently  $K^+$  ions are either compartmentalized or sequestered inside the cell or, as shall be discussed on p. 119, the  $H<sub>2</sub>O$ fluxes cause movements of  $K<sup>+</sup>$  across the cell membrane. It can be calculated from Fig. 7, Table 3 and p. 117 that approximately 102 mmoles of  $K^+$ leave or enter the cellular compartment per liter of  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  in the experiment where  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  fluxes are induced across the serosal membrane, and 98 mmoles liter<sup>-1</sup> in the experiment where  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  fluxes are induced across the serosal membrane.

It is unlikely that the induced  $K^+$  fluxes are mediated directly by any change in the gradients of electrical potential across the membranes: (i) These changes were maximally  $\pm 2$  mV (Fig. 8 and p. 115) and membrane resistance would need to be as low as  $1-5 \Omega \text{ cm}^2$  to explain the fluxes. Current estimates are of the order  $1000-4000 \Omega \text{ cm}^2$  (Frömter 1972; Reuss & Finn 1975; 1977). This is probably an overestimate (Zeuthen, 1976; Boulpaep & Sackin, 1980; Zeuthen, 1981c) but hardly by three orders of magnitude, although Frömter, Suzuki, Kottra & Kampmann (1981) have now found that the resistance of the serosal membrane is as low as  $130 \Omega \text{ cm}^2$ . *(ii)* The changes observed in  $K_r^+$  were

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The serosal effects are probably underestimated due to the extensive foldings of the serosal membrane; the mueosal effects are probably overestimated, because in some preliminary experiments changes in the osmolarity of the mucosal solution are seen to be reflected instantaneously in the lateral spaces; thus it might be difficult to expose exclusively the mucosal membrane.

positive when the osmotic gradient was positive and equally large but negative when the osmotic gradient was negative (Fig. 9). The change in electrical potential inside the cell, however, was approximately  $+2$  mV when positive osmotic gradients were used, but about  $-15$  mV when negative gradients were used (Fig. 8). Thus the symmetry in the response of  $K<sub>c</sub><sup>+</sup>$  indicates that the change in electrical potential has no effect on the initial rate of change in  $K_c^+$ . *(iii)* When the mucosal solution was changed from normal saline to one with  $75\%$  of the NaCl replaced by mannitol and with an unchanged osmolarity,  $K_{\epsilon}^{+}$ did not change even if  $E_c$  changed by  $-15$  mV (Figs. 8 and 9). Thus, changes in  $E_c$  do not initially induce changes in  $K_c^+$ . It can be concluded that the driving force for removal of  $K^+$  from the cellular compartment can not be explained by electrodiffusion alone.

#### *Induced Fluxes of Anions*

The requirement of electroneutrality dictates that the loss (or gain) of  $K^+$  from the cellular compartment is accompanied by an equivalent loss (or gain) in the intracellular density of negative charge from ions other than  $Cl^-$ . The rate of change of this unknown anion  $X^-$  (meq liter<sup>-1</sup>) is calculated in Table 3; for simplicity it is assumed that this anion is univalent. It can be seen that  $X^-$  remains relatively constant when the cell shrinks or swells.

Due to electroneutrality the flux of  $K^+$  from (or into) the cellular compartment must be accompanied by an equivalent flux of ions. An efflux may not simply be in the form of the salt  $K X$ ;  $X^-$  may also combine with  $H^+$ , which would free  $HCO_3^-$  to cross the membrane together with  $K<sup>+</sup>$ . Another possibility is a  $K^+/H^+$  exchange. The finding that the neutral flux of  $K^+$  and the unknown ion occurs without any appreciable change in the intracellular electrical potential  $E<sub>c</sub>$  shows that the mechanism of permeation probably is not purely electrodiffusive. If so, a diffusion potential would be expected to arise in order to cause the flux of negative charge to equal the flux of positive charge across the membrane. In this context it is interesting to note that cell shrinkage, induced by removal of extracellular Na<sup>+</sup> (Spring  $\&$ Hope, 1979), causes no appreciable change in  $E<sub>z</sub>$ (Reuss, 1979; Garcia-Diaz & Armstrong, 1980).

# *Nature of the H20 Fluxes ACross the Cell Membrane*

From the steady-state experiments it can be concluded that the influx of  $H_2O$ , at least the low external osmolarities, can be accounted for by osmosis. With an  $L_p$  of the order of  $10^{-3}$  cm sec<sup>-1</sup> osm<sup>-1</sup> and an intracellular hyperosmolarity of 35 mOsm originating from the excess of  $K_c^+$ , the influx will be calculated as 126 µl cm<sup>2</sup> hr<sup>-1</sup>. This flux is of the same order as the volume transport observed at the low osmolarities, about  $50 \text{ ul cm}^{-2}$  hr<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 2).

The nonsteady state experiments suggested that the osmotically induced fluxes of  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  induced a neutral, co-flux of  $K<sup>+</sup>$  and anions. If the fluxes of ions and water were through the *same* pathway, irreversible thermodynamics (Kedem & Katchalsky, 1963a) show that a flux of  $K<sup>+</sup>$  ions and anions across the membrane will induce a flux of  $H_2O$ . Given the distribution of  $K<sup>+</sup>$  across the membrane, the magnitude of induced  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  flux will be assessed below:

Consider a cell membrane consisting of a semipermeable cell membrane which separates two solutions of permeant  $K^+$  ions, permeant anions  $B^-$ , impermeant Na<sup>+</sup> ions and impermeant ions of a charge density  $X^-$ . Kedem and Katchalsky (1963a) show that the permeation of ions and water across the membrane can be expressed by a rate of volume transport  $J_r$ , a rate of salt transport  $J_s$ , the salt being KB, and a current I. As the flux of KB did not generate any potential difference across the membrane, the current can be neglected:

$$
J_v = L_{11}(-\Delta \pi) + L_{12}(\Delta \pi_s/C_s)
$$
\n(1A)

$$
J_s = L_{21}(-\Delta \pi) + L_{22}(\Delta \pi_s/C_s). \tag{2A}
$$

The hydrostatic pressure difference across the membrane is assumed to be zero,  $\Delta \pi$  is the osmotic pressure difference, and  $C_s$ is per definition

$$
C_s = \Delta \pi_s / \ln(a_c/a_o) \cdot RT \tag{3A}
$$

where  $\Delta \pi_s$  is the osmotic pressure difference due to the permeable salt,  $a$  is the activities of the salt on the outside  $o$ , or on the inside c of the membrane,

 $L_{11}$  and  $L_{21}$  were determined from the nonsteady state experiments where an osmotic gradient  $-A\pi$  was imposed by means of mannitol; from Eq. (1A):

$$
RT \cdot L_{11} = RT \cdot \Delta J_v / - \Delta \pi = 1.1 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ cm sec}^{-1} \text{ osm}^{-1}
$$
 (4A)

and from Eq. (1A) and (2A) and the fact that  $L_{12} = L_{21}$ :

$$
L_{21}/L_{11} = L_{12}/L_{11} = \Delta J_s/\Delta J_v = 102 \text{ mmoles liter}^{-1}.
$$
 (5A)

Having determined the coefficients we can return to Eq. (1A) applied for the steady state, inserting Eq. (3A) and using  $4\pi$  $=$ *RT<sub>* $\Delta$ *C*:</sub>

$$
J_v = -RTL_{11} \Delta C + RTL_{12} \cdot \ln(a_o/a_c). \tag{6A}
$$

The first term equals the osmotic influx  $+126 \mu$  cm<sup>-2</sup> hr<sup>-1</sup> calculated above. The second term describes the  $H_2O$  efflux caused by the permeation of the salt. With  $a_{KB}=1/a_K \cdot a_B$  and  $HCO<sub>3</sub>$  as the permeable anion B the following example can be calculated:  $K_c^+ = 105$  mm,  $HCO_{3,c}^- = 10$  mm (Khuri et al., 1974),  $K_c^+$ =3 mm and  $\text{HCO}_{3,\degree}$ =2.4 mm. With these figures the last term of Eq. (6A) can be calculated as  $-975 \mu l$  cm<sup>2</sup> hr<sup>-1</sup>. If Cl<sup>-</sup> is the anion the last term calculates as  $-435 \mu$ l cm<sup>-2</sup> hr<sup>-1</sup>. As long as  $B^-$  is less than 150 mm either intra- or extracellularly, the efflux of  $H_2O$  will exceed the osmotic influx.

Thus the coupled efflux of  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  could be of the same order as the osmotic influx; in the steady state  $K<sup>+</sup>$  and  $B<sup>-</sup>$  should enter the cell via one pathway (or be generated in the cell,  $B^-$  = HCO<sub>3</sub>) and leave the cell together with  $H_2O$ .<sup>3</sup>

It should be emphasized that irreversible thermodynamics make no assumption about the physical structure of the membrane. It is also difficult to visualize a pore which could cause this large coupling between salt and water. The only context in which such couplings are described is in connection with the Teorell-Meyer-Sievers model of membranes discussed by Kedem and Katchalsky (1963b). According to this theory  $K^+$  should permeate through an aqueous pore with fixed negative charges and  $B^$ through another aqueous pore with fixed positive charges. In the present context the charge densities of the two pores should be matched and have a charge density of the order of 100 mEq/liter in order to account for the observed neutral coupling and the flux of water.

## **Conclusion**

 $Na<sup>+</sup>$  and  $Cl<sup>-</sup>$  remain inside the cell when  $H<sub>2</sub>O$ fluxes are induced across the membrane. Thus their initial rate of change is a measure of the water permeabilities of the membranes.

Some irregularities in the behavior of the intracellular  $K<sup>+</sup>$  activity are, however, demonstrated. Various interpretations are possible. I have focused on the interpretation which accepts that the  $K^+$ concentration inside the cell can be higher than the value expected from osmotic equilibrium across the cell membrane, and  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  influx effected by this imbalance could be compensated by a  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  efflux coupled to the passive efflux of a K salt. The driving forces for the loss of  $K<sup>+</sup>$  salt from the cell during shrinkage, or gain during swelling, are unknown. The forces are probably not electrical.

It is possible that the osmotic influxes and coupled effluxes of water described here are part of the cells' volume-regulatory mechanisms. Whether transepithelial volume transport is affected will depend on the exact distribution of  $L_n$ , coupling and reflexion coefficients at the two membranes. Obviously any coupled efflux of  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  will predominate in the membrane across which the efflux of  $K<sup>+</sup>$  (and accompanying anions) is largest. In the model for  $K^+$ transport described by Koefoed-Johnsen and Ussing (1958)  $K^+$  ions are envisaged to enter the cell actively via a  $\text{Na}^+/K^+$  pump, only to leak out passively across the serosal membrane; this mode of operation has been confirmed to operate in a leaky epithelia, e.g. choroid plexus (Zeuthen & Wright, 1981). The calculations above imply that the passive  $K<sup>+</sup>$  flux would be large enough to induce an efflux of  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  which was of the same order as the transepithelial  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  flux.

The author wishes to thank the Philips' Foundation and the Danish Research Council for financial support, Professor C. Crone for constructive comments, I.@. Johansen for technical help, and U. Brat and R. Christiansen for typing. I also wish to thank the mechanical and electronic workshop for expert assistance. The Na<sup>+</sup> ion exchanger was a kind gift from Professor W. Simon.

#### **References**

- Armstrong, W.McD., Bixenman, W.R., Frey, K.F., Garcia-Diaz, J.F., O'regan, M.G., Owens, J.L. 1979. Energetics of coupled  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  and  $Cl<sup>-</sup>$  entry into epithelial cells of bullfrog small intestine. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 551:207-219
- Bindslev, N., Hansen, A.J. 1981. Mono-/bivalent ion selectivities obtained by the Nicolsky and the electrodiffusional regimes. *In:* Progress in Enzyme and Ion Selective Electrodes. D.W. Lübbers, H. Acker, R.P. Buck, G. Eisenman, M. Kessler, and W. Simon, editors, pp. 25-31. Springer-Verlag, New York
- Boulpaep, E.L., Sackin, H. 1980. Electrical analysis of intraepithelial barriers. *In:* Current Topics in Membranes and Transport J. Hoffman and G. Giebish, editors. Vol. 13, pp. 169-197. Academic Press, New York
- Bundgaard, M., Zeuthen, T. 1981. The structure of *Necturus* gallbladder epithelium at low osmolarities. *J. Physiol. (London)*  316:60P
- Case, R.M., Harper, A.A., Scratcherd, T. 1968. Water and electrolyte secretion by the perfused pancreas of the cat. *J. Physiol. (London)* 196:133-149
- Diamond, J.M. i964. The mechanism of isotonic water transport. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 48:15-42
- Engbaek, L., Guld, C. 1971. Leakage in glass pipette microelectrodes. *In:* Proceedings of the 2nd Nordic Meeting on Medical and Biological Engineering, Oslo (Society for Medical and Biological Engineering, Oslo), pp. 116-118
- Frömter, E. 1972. The route of passive ion movement through the epithelium of *Necturus* gallbladder. *J. Membrane Biol.* 8:259- 301
- Frömter, E., Suzuki, K., Kottra, G., Kampmann, L. 1981. The paracellular shunt conductance of *Necturus* gallbladder epithelium: Comparison of measurements obtained by cable analysis with measurements obtained by a new approach based on intracellular impedance analysis. *In:* Epithelial Ion and Water Transport. A.D.C. Macknight and J.P. Leader, editors, pp. 73-83. Raven Press, New York
- Garcia-Diaz, J.E., Armstrong, W.McD. 1980. The steady-state relationship between sodium and chloride transmembrane electrochemical potential differences in *Necturus* gallbladder. *J. Membrane Biol.* 55:213-222
- Graf, J., Giebish, G. 1979. Intracellular sodium activity and sodium transport in *Necturus* gallbladder epithelium. *J. Membrane Biol.* 47:327-355
- Hill, B.S., Hill, A.E. 1978. Fluid transfer by *Necturus* gall bladder epithelium as a function of osmolarity. *Proc. R. Soc. London*  B. 200:151-162

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> One could argue that the coupling was indirect and mediated by an intracellular pressure. In that case the hydraulic permeability of the cell membrane would need to be at least two orders of magnitude larger than the osmotic permeability in order for the pressure to be in a physiologically acceptable range, say 5cm H20. Such effects are suggested in the gastric mucosa (Moody & Durbin, t969).

- Hoffmann, E.K. 1977. Control of cell volume. *In:* Transport of Ions and Water in Animals. B.L. Gupta, R.B. Moreton, J.L. Oschman, and B.J. Wall, editors, pp. 285-332. Academic Press, New York
- Huxley, A.F. 1961. A micromanipulator. *J. Physiol. (London)*  157:5P
- Kedem, O., Katchalsky, A. 1963a, Permeability of composite membranes. 1. Electric current, volume flow and flow of solute through membranes. *Proc. Faraday Soc.* 59:1918-1930
- Kedem, O., Katchalsky, A. 1963b, Permeability of composite membranes. 2. Parallel elements. *Proc. Faraday Soc.* 59:1931- 1940
- Khuri, R.N., Bogharian, K.K., Agulian, S.K. 1974. Intracellular bicarbonate in single cells of *Necturus* kidney proximal tubule. *Pfluegers Arch.* 249:295-299
- Koefoed-Johnsen, V., Ussing, H.H. 1958. The nature of the frog skin potential. *Acta Physiol. Scand.* 42:298-308
- Machen, T.E., Zeuthen, T. 1980. Electrophysiology of  $Cl^-$  in the stomach. *J. Physiol. (London)* 301:48P
- Macknight, D.C., Leaf, A. 1977. Regulation of cellular volume. *Physiol. Rev.* 57:511-573
- Maddrell, S.H.P. 1969. Secretion by the malpighian tubules of rhodnius. The movement of ions and water. *J. Exp. Biol.*  51:71-97
- Moody, F.G., Durbin, R.P. 1969. Water flow induced by osmotic and hydrostatic pressure in the stomach. *Am. J. Physiol.*  217:255-264
- Nelson, D.J., Ehrenfeld, J., Lindemann, B. 1978. Volume changes and potential artifacts of epithelial cells of frog skin following impalement with microelectrodes filled with 3 M KC1. *J. Membrane Biol.* Special Issue :91-119
- Ramsey, J.A., Brown, R.H.J. 1955. Simplified apparatus and procedure for freezing-point determination upon small volumes of fluid. *J. Sci. lnstrum.* 32:372-375
- Reuss, L. 1979. Electrical properties of the cellular transepithelial pathway in *Necturus* gallbladder. III. Ionic permeability of the basolateral cell membrane. *J. Membrane Biol.* 47:239-259
- Reuss, L., Finn, A.L. 1975. Electrical properties of the cellular transepithelial pathway in *Neeturus* gallbladder. *J. Membrane Biol.* 25:115-139
- Reuss, L., Finn, A.L. 1977. Effects of luminal hyperosmolality on electrical pathways of *Necturus* gallbladder. *Am. d. Physiol.*  232 :C99-C108

Reuss, L., Weinman, S.A. 1979. Intracellular ionic activities and

transmembrane electrochemical potential differences in gallbladder epithelium. *J. Membrane Biol.* 49:345-362

- Robinson, R.A., Stokes, R.H. 1959. Electrolyte Solutions. Second edition (revised). Butterworths, London
- Spring, K.R., Hope, A. 1979. Fluid transport and the dimensions of cells and interspaces of living *Necturus* gallbladder. J. *Gen. Physiol.* 73:287-305
- Spring, K.R., Hope, A., Persson, B.-E. 1981. *In:* Water Transport Across Epithelia. Alfred Benzon Symposium 15. H.H. Ussing, N. Bindslev, N.A. Lassen, and O. Sten-Knudsen, editors. pp. 190-200. Munskgaard, Copenhagen
- White, J.F. 1976. Intracellular potassium activities in *Amphiuma*  small intestine. *Am. J. Physiol.* 231:1214-1219
- Zeuthen, T. 1976. The vertebrate gall-bladder. The routes of ion transport. *In:* Fluid Transport in Epithelia. B.L. Gupta, R.B. Moreton, J.L. Oschman, and B.J. Wall, editors, pp. 511-551. Academic Press, New York
- Zeuthen, T. 1978. Intracellular gradients of ion activities in the epithelial cells of the *Necturus* gallbladder recorded with ionselective microelectrodes. *J. Membrane Biol.* 39:185-218
- Zeuthen, T. 1980. How to make and use double-barrelled ionselective microelectrodes. *In:* Current Topics in Membranes and Transport. E. Boulpaep, editor. Vol. 13, pp. 31-47. Academic Press, New York
- Zeuthen, T. 1981a. The intracellular osmolarity during isotonic fluid transport in gallbladder. Alfred Benzon Symposium 15. H.H. Ussing, Bindslev, N.A. Lassen, and O. Sten-Knudsen, editors, pp. 313-324. Munskgaard, Copenhagen
- Zeuthen, T. 1981b. Ion transport in leaky epithelia studied with ion-selective microelectrodes. *In:* The Application of Ion-Selective Microelectrodes. T. Zeuthen, editor, pp. 27-46. North Holland/Elsevier
- Zeuthen, T. 1981c. On the effects of amphotericin B and ouabain on the electrical potentials of *Necturus* gallbladder. *J. Membrane Biol.* 60:167-169
- Zeuthen, T., Hiam, R.C., Silver, I.A. 1974. Recording of ion activities in the brain. *In:* Ion Selective Microelectrodes. H. Berman and N. Herbert, editors, pp. 145-156. Plenum Press, London
- Zeuthen, T., Wright, M. 1981. Epithelial potassium transport: Tracer and electrophysiological studies in choroid plexus. J. *Membrane Biol.* 60 : 105-128

Received 31 March 1981; revised 30 June 1981